1 2 3	PHILIP M. MILLER (SBN 87877) MICHELLE L. SICULA (SBN 160598) SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2110 San Francisco, CA 94104				
4	(415) 882-7900 (415) 882-9287 – Facsimile				
5	pmiller@sjlawcorp.com kmcculloch@sjlawcorp.com msicula@sjlawcorp.com				
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
7 8	ROBERT G. HULTENG, Bar No. 071293 JOSHUA J. CLIFFE, Bar No. 215390				
9	VINCENT J. MERSICH, Bar No. 259813 LITTLER MENDELSON				
10	A Professional Corporation 650 California Street, 20th Floor				
11	San Francisco, CA 94108.2693 Telephone: 415.433.1940				
12	Attorneys for Defendant Stanford European				
13	INTED OF VECT PRODUCE COLIDS				
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
15	AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRIES PENSION TRUST FUND, JAMES H. BENO, Trustee,	Case No	o.: C 09-02548 SC		
16 17	BILL BRUNELLI,, Trustee, STEPHEN J. MACK, Trustee, MARK HOLLIBUSH, Trustee, CHRIS CHRISTOPHERSEN, Trustee,	MANAGI	D JOINT CASE EMENT STATEMENT AND SED] ORDER		
	DON CROSATTO, Trustee, GEORGE HALL,	_	-		
18	JR., Trustee, CHARLES J. DI BARI, Trustee, RON NELSON, Trustee, and JAMES V.	DATE:	April 9, 2010		
19	CANTERBURY, Trustee,	TIME:	10:00 A.M.		
20	Plaintiffs,	JUDGE:	Hon. Samuel Conti		
21	v.		COURTROOM 1 Seventeenth Floor		
22	STANFORD EUROPEAN, INC., dba		450 Golden Gate Ave.,		
23	STANFORD BMW,		San Francisco, CA		
24	Defendant.				
	Plaintiffs, Automotive Industries Pension Trust Fund and its Board of Trustees, jointly				
25 26	with Defendant Stanford European (hereafter "Stanford") in the above-entitled action submit this				
27 27	Updated Joint Case Management Statement and Proposed Order and request the Court to adopt it				
	as its Case Management Order in this case.				
28	J	OINT CASE	E MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No.: 09-2458 SC		

At the last case management conference on September 25, 2009, Plaintiffs and Defendant asked the Court to direct the case to mediation. On December 9, 2009, the parties participated in a mediation with mediator Kim Zeldin. With the help of the mediator, the parties determined that they would need to exchange additional information in order to resolve this matter. Ms. Zeldin offered to assist the parties further following the exchange.

On December 16, 2009, Plaintiffs sent Defendant a letter requesting documents and identifying subject areas about which plaintiffs needed further information. Due to the intervening holidays and the temporary unavailability of Defendant's owners and accountant, Defendant did not respond to Plaintiffs' December 16th letter until March 10, 2010 when Defendant's counsel sent an e-mail containing some of the requested information. On March 12, 2010, Defendant informally produced documents responsive to Plaintiffs' December 16th letter.

Plaintiffs are in the process of reviewing the documents provided by Defendant on March 12th. Following review, the parties intend to engage in an additional mediation with Ms. Zeldin.

The parties jointly request that the Court set a case management conference 90 days out to allow time for the parties to secure a spot on Ms. Zeldin's calendar and proceed with settlement discussions which are likely to be productive. Counsel for plaintiffs contacted Ms. Zeldin's office on March 11th and 19th to determine her availability for the months of April and May 2010. On March 26, 2010, the mediator's office provided the parties with Ms. Zeldin's availability for April and May 2010. Counsel will promptly identify those dates on which all necessary client representatives will be available.

The Court previously permitted an extension of 90 days for the parties to engage in this process. See Clerk's Notice, filed February 2, 2010. However, more time than was expected was required to obtain the information sought in Plaintiffs' December 16th letter. Accordingly, the parties ask the Court to grant an additional extension of 90 days in order to increase the chance of settlement. Defendant contends that there are few, if any, assets available to satisfy Plaintiffs' claims. The parties are interested in settlement and believe that a further extension of time may bear fruit and conserve the resources of the parties and the Court.

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	Dated:	March 26, 2010	SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION
7			
8			By: /s/ Michelle L. Sicula
9			Attorneys for Plaintiffs
10	Dated:	March 26, 2010	LITTLER MENDELSON
11			A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
12 13			By:/s/
14			Joshua Cliffe Attorney for Defendant Stanford European
15			Automoy for Beforeaut Stamora Baropean
16	IT IS SO ORDERED that the Case Management Conference is continued to July 9, 2010 at		
17	10:00 a.		
18			STATES DISTRICT CO.
19	Dated:	March 29, 2010	SANOTITIS SO ORDERED
20			SANTUH UNITEL Judge Samuel Conti
21			Judge Same
22			ERN DISTRICT OF
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			IOINT CASE MANACEMENT STATEMENT

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No.: 09-2458 SC